Students' Learning Motivation and Learning Strategies towards Reading Comprehension

Muhammad Haris

English Department, Faculty of Education, Lampung University, Sumantri Brojonegoro No. 1 Bandar Lampung, Lampung Province, Indonesia
Corresponding Author: Muhammad Haris

Abstract: *This research was aimed to find out the correlation between students' learning motivation and learning strategies towards reading comprehension. It was quantitative research where the research was applied to 30 first grade students of SMAN 1 Seputih Agung, Lampung Tengah. The researcher found that there was no correlation between students' learning motivation towards reading. It was proven by the result of Independent Sample T-test between learning motivation and reading that t value = 0.219 and the two tail significance showed p>0.05 (p= 0.828). Meanwhile, the result of the correlation between learning strategies and reading showed significant correlation with the average r>0,5. The researcher also suggested the teachers are to know their students' learning motivation and introduced the types of learning strategies to their students in order to help the students to get better reading comprehension.*

Keywords: *language learning strategies, learning motivation, reading comprehension*

I.   Introduction

Students need to learn four language skills i.e. listening, speaking, reading, and writing in order to master English. From those skills, Nuttal (2005) stated that reading is one of the four skills which functions as a connection between the writer and the reader. The connection means that the idea or the information which the writer explains in a text and could be understood by the readers. That assumption is same with Zamelman, Daniels, and Hyde (1998) who defined that reading is a transaction between the words of an author and the mind of a reader. Reading without comprehension or understanding is not reading. Many students can pronounce words fluently but when they are asked what they have just read, they are unable to respond. Although they may get high score in terms of reading rate or fluency, they are not really good readers.

A good reader is someone who has a purpose for reading, whether it is to find specific information or just to read for pleasure (Grellet, 1981). A good reader is involved in a complicated thinking process as she or he reads. Farris and Hamilton (2004) stated that when students comprehend, they interpret, integrate critique, infer, analyse, connect, and evaluate ideas in texts. They analyze multiple ideas or information not only in their heads but also in the other minds. When comprehending, students strive to process text base on word-level to get to the big picture. When comprehension is successful, students are left with a sense of satisfaction from having understood the meaning of a text (Aebersold, Jo Ann and Mary Lee Field, 1997).

Many Indonesian students think that learning English is difficult like math and physics. Some of them also do not like English subject in their school and instead they have aversion in learning English. Like in the researcher teaching experience, those perceptions happened because their school doesn't have suitable facility which can help them in learning English. That bad perception of English affects their motivation in learning English, especially in reading. The wrong strategies in learning also can make them lazy to read English book.

In order to decrease the difficulty and minimize the problems in learning English, students should have some appropriate learning strategies and motivation in learning English in order to increase their reading comprehension. Motivation is usually defined as an internal state that arouses, directs, and maintains behavior (Graham & Weiner, 1996). It also makes students' process in gathering knowledge and skills of English run well and easier. Those issues related with previous research (Barbara McCombs, 1991), her research have shown that for students to be optimally motivated to learn, they must prepare some strategies. (Uniroh 1990) in her script showed that there is significant correlation between students' motivation and their reading comprehension achievement. So that, motivation and strategies are very important aspect for the students which can guarantee them to have a high score in learning English, especially in reading.

II. Methods

This research is a quantitative research. Quantitative research is associated with social survey technique like structured interviewing and self-administered questionnaire, experiments, structured observation, content analysis, and the analysis of official statistic. This research is made to investigate whether there is any effect of students' learning motivation towards their learning strategies or not. The research will be conducted by using descriptive survey method. The population of this research is the first grade of SMAN 1 Seputih Agung. There are 5 classes of the first grade in that school. But, the researcher only uses one class that suitable for this research. The number of the students of each class is about 30-35 students. The researcher uses purposive sampling in this research.

The researcher uses two kinds of research instrument. Those are questionnaires and reading test. Those questionnaires are questionnaire of motivations and questionnaire of learning strategies. The questionnaire of motivation consists of 20 multiple choices items with 3 choices in every item and one item (no.21) which is used to measure type of learning motivations. Another questionnaire is LLSQ of reading which consists of 20 items. In LLSQ, items are divided into meta-cognitive strategies, cognitive strategies, and social strategies. Likert Scale is used by the researcher to make the students easier to answer the questionnaire.

Meanwhile, the researcher uses reading test based on students' handbook of curriculum based on KTSP. The reading test will be given to the students when the questionnaire test has been administered. The time allocation is 90 minutes. There are 50 items in form of a suitable answer based on the text for any or some questions in reading test. The kind of reading test used is objective test. There are lists of questions with four possible answers (a, b, c, d) that have to be answered by the students in the test to measure students' reading comprehension.

The validity of questionnaire is measured to find if the components are suitable and related to the relevant theories of students' learning motivation and students' learning strategies. According to Hatch and Farhady (1978), there are at least two validities which should be fulfilled; content and construct validity. Since the questionnaire was adopted from questionnaire of learning motivation and LLSQ which are constructed by Setiyadi (2006), the researcher considers that the construct validity of the questionnaires has been standardized. The following table describes the aspects of learning strategies used by the L2 students developed by Setiyadi.

Table 1. Specification Table of Language Learning Strategies Questionnaire

Aspects of Questionnaire	Number of items
Metacognitive strategies	1-11
Cognitive strategies	12-17
Social strategies	18-20

It can be seen from the table above that all the aspects of learning strategies in reading skill related to the theories of learning strategies classification (*metacognitive, cognitive, and social strategies*). The construct and content validity of this questionnaire is proved since it uses in another research about motivation and learning strategies before. Meanwhile, the content validity of reading test is based on the curriculum that is currently used in Indonesia that is KTSP curriculum. These questions are taken from the 10th grade English textbooks and mid-semester test questions which are related to reading skill. The content of the test items is presented in the table of specification below based on the theory of reading and the curriculum.

Table 2. Table of Specification of Data Collecting Instrument

No.	Objectives	No. of Items	Percentages	Question Number
1.	Determining the Main Idea	8	16%	9, 16, 21, 33, 36, 41, 44, 47
2.	Specific Information	12	24%	1, 2, 6, 10, 12, 15, 17, 20, 23, 24, 27, 30
3.	Inference	8	16%	4, 22, 26, 31, 39, 45, 46, 48
4.	Reference	11	22%	3, 8, 13, 18, 25, 28, 34, 37, 38, 42, 50
5.	Vocabulary	11	22%	5, 7, 11, 14, 19, 29, 32, 35, 40, 43, 49
	Total	**50**	**100%**	**50**

In order to find out the effect of students' learning motivation and their learning strategies towards reading skill, the researcher analyzing learning motivation by using Independent Sample T-test of SPSS Program. Then, the researcher analyzes the correlation of learning strategies towards reading comprehension by using Correlation of SPSS Program. After that, making inference of the effect of students' learning motivation and their learning strategies towards reading comprehension by referring to the result of the Independent Sample T-test and Correlation of SPPS Program.

III. Result

The experimental classes of this research are X3 and X6. The object of the sample was regular class which consisted of 30 students. The researcher held the research in class X6 by using the instrument based on the result of analysis of the try out which was valid and reliable.

After got the data, the researcher found that there were 21 students who used intrinsic motivation and 9 students who used extrinsic motivation. Then, the researcher analyze the correlation of motivation and reading comprehension using Independent Sample T-Test of SPPS program and found that t value = 0.219 and the two tail significance showed p>0.05 (p= 0.828). Based on the analysis p > 0.05, so the result can be conclude that there are no significant difference between intrinsic motivation students and extrinsic motivation students in reading skill.

The researcher divided students into 2 groups based on their motivation. Then, the learning strategies data from each groups correlated towards reading achievement and the result showed below.

1. Intrinsic Motivation Groups

There were 21 students who had intrinsic motivation. From 21 students, there were 6 students who used cognitive strategy, 3 students used metacognitive strategy and 12 students used social strategy. After calculating by using correlation of SPSS program, the researcher found that there are significant correlations in correlation test of learning strategies towards reading achievement. The result showed the correlation of cognitive strategy towards reading with r=0.402 which classified as medium correlation. Meanwhile, the correlation of metacognitive strategy towards reading classified as low correlation with r=0.380. Last, the correlation of social strategies towards reading has r=-0.099 which classified as negative correlation. So those, from 21 students who have intrinsic motivation, cognitive strategy have higher correlation than metacognitive and social strategy.

2. Extrinsic Motivation Groups

There were 9 students who have extrinsic motivation. From 9 students, there were 2 students who used cognitive strategy, a student used metacognitive strategy, and 6 students used social strategy. The result showed the correlation of cognitive strategy towards reading with r=0.556 which classified as medium correlation. Meanwhile, the correlation of metacognitive strategy towards reading classified as very high correlation with r=0.921. Last, the correlation of social strategies towards reading has r=0.541 which classified as medium correlation. So that, from 9 students who had extrinsic motivation, metacognitive strategy has higher correlation than cognitive and social strategy.

IV. Discussion

The data analysis indicates that intrinsic motivation is the most frequently used by the students than extrinsic motivation. According to Bahri (2006), intrinsic motivation is motifs that be active or do not need help from outside, because in each individual was had encouragement to do something. After found students' learning motivation, the researcher divided students into 2 groups those were students who had intrinsic motivation and extrinsic motivation. The learning strategies data from each groups correlated to reading achievement. From 21 students who have intrinsic motivation, cognitive strategy has higher correlation than metacognitive and social strategy. Meanwhile, from 9 students who had extrinsic motivation, metacognitive strategy has higher correlation than cognitive and social strategy.

Then, the data of intrinsic and extrinsic motivation groups also indicates that social strategy is the most frequently used by the students. The social strategy includes not only all process that take place in groups, but also includes individual activities in social setting to acquire another language. It indicates that the students tend to learn with their peers or consult with their teacher when they found some difficulties or problems in comprehending the reading text. The other strategies were cognitive and metacognitive strategies. When the students using cognitive strategy, the use their mental process while they are learning a language (Agustina, 2002). Meanwhile, metacognitive strategy teaches student how to become purposeful, effective, and independent learners. Oxford's (1990) stated that meta-cognitive strategies include strategies for evaluating one's progress, planning, for language tasks, consciously searching for practice opportunities, paying attention and monitoring errors.

The analysis data of learning motivation also has no significant correlation; it is not means that the data has no correlation. There are many reasons of data which has no significant correlation but in this research, the researcher found that that is happened because in this research only conduct small number of population.

V.   Conclusion

In line with the analysis of the data gained during the research, the result of the present study in the previous section, the researcher made this conclusion:

1. Students' learning motivation not significantly correlates with reading comprehension. It means that there are no differences between students who possessed intrinsic motivation and students who possessed extrinsic motivation in reading skill.
2. In students who possessed intrinsic motivation, cognitive strategy has medium correlation, metacognitive has low correlation, and social has negative correlation with reading comprehension. It means that students who possessed intrinsic motivation learn using mental processing, they memorized what they have learned or experiences in their life by remembering things in their native language,
3. In students who possessed extrinsic motivation, cognitive strategy has medium correlation, metacognitive has high correlation, and social has medium correlation. It means that students who possessed extrinsic motivation learn from actions which go beyond purely cognitive devices. Students take some action based on their experiences which make them success in doing the task.

VI.   Suggestion

Related to the problem of this research and the information from the discussion of this research, the researcher suggest to the teacher to know their students motivation and introduces the types of language learning strategies to their students in order to help the students to get better reading skill. Then, the writer suggest the other researcher who want to point out remaining issues to be explored by future research in identifying students' learning motivation and learning strategies and those effect in reading skill should be conducted with bigger amount of sample and covers all proficiency level in order to get more data validity.

References

[1].   Aebersold, Jo Ann and Mary Lee Field, *From Reader to Reading Teacher: Issues and Strategy for Second Language Classrooms*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1997.
[2].   Agustina, D. 2002. *Comparative Study of English Learning Strategies Used By   Successful and Unsuccessful Students on the Third Year of SMU Negeri 9   Bandar Lampung.* Bandar Lampung: Lampung University (Unpublished   Script).
[3].   Brown, D. H. 1987. *Principles of Language Learning and Teaching.* Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey: Prentice Hall, Inc.
[4].   _____. 2001. *Teaching by Principle: An Interactive Approaches to Language   Pedagogy* (Second   Edition).   New York: Addition Wesley Longman, Inc.
[5].   Daniel, Zamelman and Hyde Samuels. *Reading Comprehension*, New York: Oxford University Press, 1998.
[6].   Djamah, S. B. 2006. *Psikologi Belajar.* Jakarta: Rineka Cipta. Ed. 2.
[7].   Dorman, C. and Gaudiano, P. 1990. *Motivation.* Boston: Boston University   (Unpublished Script).
[8].   Farris, Pamela J. , et. al. *Teaching Reading a Balance Approach*, New York: The Mc Graw-Hill Companie, 2004.
[9].   Ferdayana. 2012. *Hubungan Motivasi Belajar Siswa dan Metode Pembelajaran Terhadap Prestasi Belajar Pendidikan Agama Islam siswa SMK Negeri 1 Menggala Tulang Bawang.* Lampung: National Institute of Islamic Religion. (Thesis)
[10].   Graham, S. and Weiner, B.1996. *Theories and Principles of Motivation.* Los Angeles: University of California (Unpublished Script).
[11].   Gardner, R. C., and Lambert, W. E. 1959. *Motivational Variables in Second   Language Acquision.* New York: Basic Books.
[12].   Grabe, William and Fredericka L. Stoller. *Teaching and Researching Reading*. Essex: Pearson Education Limited, 2002.
[13].   Grellet, Francoise. *Developing Reading Skills*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1981.
[14].   Hatch, E. and Farhady, H. 1978. *Research Design and Statistics for Applied   Linguistic.* Rowley,   Massachusetts: Newburry House Publishers, Inc.
[15].   _____. 1982. *Research Design and Statistics for Applied Linguistic*. London: Newbury House Publishers Inc.
[16].   Harmer, Jeremy. *How to Teach English*. Essex: Pearson Education Limited, 2007.
[17].   McCombs, B. 1991. Motivation and Lifelong Learning. *Educational Psychologist. 26* (3 & 4), 117-127.
[18].   Mikulecky, Beatrice S and Linda Jeffries. *More Reading Power*. Boston: Addison- Wesley Publishing Company, Inc, 2004.
[19].   Nuttal, Christine. *Teaching Reading Skill in a Foreign Language*. Oxford: Macmillan Education, 2005.
[20].   Oxford, R. L. 1990a. *Styles, Strategies, and Aptitude.* In: Setiyadi, Ag. Bambang. 1999. *A Survey of The Learning Language Strategies of Tertiary EFL Students in Indonesia.* Australia: La Trobe University Bundoora (Unpublished Thesis).
[21].   _____. 1990b. *Language Learning Strategies: What Every Teacher should Know*. New York: Newbury House Publishers.
[22].   _____. 1990b. *Language Learning Strategies: What Every Teacher should Know*. In: Setiyadi, Ag. Bambang. 1999. *A Survey of the Language Learning Strategies of Tertiary EFL Students in Indonesia*. Australia: La Trobe University Bundoora (Unpublished Thesis).
[23].   _____. 1990c. *Missing Link: Evidence from Research on Language Learning Style and Strategies.* In: Setiyadi, Ag. Bambang. 1999. *A Survey of the Language Learning   Strategies of Tertiary EFL Students in Indonesia.* Australia: La Trobe University Bundoora (Unpublished Thesis).
[24].   Setiyadi, Ag. Bambang. 1999. *A Survey of the Language Learning Strategies of Tertiary EFL Students in Indonesia.* Victoria, Australia: La Trobe University Bundoora (Unpublished Thesis).
[25].   _____. 2006. *Metode Penelitian untuk Pengajaran Bahasa Asing Pendekatan Kuantitatif   dan Kualitatif.* Yogyakarta, Indonesia: Graha Ilmu.
[26].   _____. 2006. *Teaching English as Foreign Language*. Yogyakarta: Graha Ilmu. Soeparwoto, et
[27].   Uno, M. Hamzah. 2011. *Teori Motivasi dan Pengukurannya.* Jakarta: Bumi Aksara.
[28].   Uniroh. 1990. *The Correlation Between the Students' Motivation and Their Reading Comprehension Achievement at the Third Year Students of SMA Perintis Bandar Lampung.* Bandar Lampung: SPGRI (Unpublished Script).